

Reform the short-term markets and ancillary services

Based on the outcomes Case study D: Iberian (Portugal & Spain) electricity market

Fernando Lezama¹ and António Couto²

Contributions from: Hugo Algarvio², Gabriel Santos¹, Ricardo Faia¹, Rui Carvalho¹, Zita Vale¹ and Ana Estanqueiro² ¹ ISEP, ² LNEG



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 864276





- Introduction to the MIBEL case study
 - i. Market design components: Day-ahead Market vs Period-ahead Market
 - ii. vRES in short-term markets: Passive vs Active Participation Balancing markets
- Simulations and Input data
- Results Market performance indicators (MPIs)
 - i. DAM vs. PAM design
 - ii. Passive vs. Active participation of vRES players
 - iii. Market performance under nearly 100% RES power systems
- Final remarks on short-term markets





The MIBEL Case Study: A short-term market design for vRES

Research question:

How to make short-term markets more efficient to better integrate vRES fluctuations?



PAM: Period-ahead market

- Day-ahead market (DAM) aims to achieve electrical energy transactions for the 24 hours of next day (D+1).
- Intraday continuous market (IDM)- aka single intraday coupling (SIDC) enables continuous trading to refine positions and manage imbalances closer to real-time.
- **Balancing markets** Secondary (SR) and Tertiary (TR) Reserves - agents to fix imbalances. Adjustment up to one hour before the delivery in tertiary reserves.



15

Market design components: DAM

Current situation:





New market design: from DAM to PAM – Period Ahead Market

Shorter leading times - gate closures closer to real-time operation





vRES in short-term markets: passive vs active participation

- In future RES-dominated systems, an active and dynamic participation of vRES players across different electricity markets, including balancing markets, will be crucial for power system support
 - > The benefits are multiple, also **enabling vRES players to diversify their revenues.**



Market participations studied in TradeRES:

- A) Passive Participation of vRES players
 The power forecast for wind and solar PV is fully offered into the DAM/PAM (hourly periods);
- **B) Active Participation** of vRES players

The power forecast are divided as:

i) **20%** power allocated for participation in balancing markets; *ii)* The remaining 80% is bid into the DAM/PAM.

Deviations of generation with respect to updated forecasts are **bided in the IDM**.



2030

2050

2050

2050

2050

SO base

S2 flexible

S3 variable

S4 radical

S1 conservative

Scenario	Market Design	Simulation designation	Participation
S0	DAM + SR + IDM + TR	DAM	Passive
	PAM + SR + IDM + TR	PAM	
	DAM + SR + IDM + TR	DAM_Active	Active (Simple)
	PAM + SR + IDM + TR	PAM_Active	
S1	DAM + SR + IDM + TR	S1_DAM_Active	
S2		S2_DAM_Active	
S3		S3_DAM_Active	
S4		S4_DAM_Active	



Simulations and input data

The simulations **comprised different features with focus on vRES players**:

- Day-ahead (DAM) vs period-ahead (PAM) market;
- **Passive** *vs* **Active participation of vRES** in the electricity markets;
- Impact of future ~100% RES power systems using the scenarios from TradeRES (S1-S4).

TradeRES scenarios:

- Future energy mixes dominated by solar and wind;
- Decommissioning of Coal (SP), Natural Gas plants and other non-renewable technologies (PT & SP);
- Reduction of nuclear capacity (SP).



DAM vs PAM design in SO scenario: Market Performance Indicators (MPIs)

• Average market price:

• System costs for dispatch:

• Costs for society:



- Compared to DAM, the PAM shows lower *i*) average market price, and *ii*) system costs for dispatch and costs for society.
- Contrary to actual situation, under ~100 RES average market prices will be slightly lower in Portugal than in Spain.



DAM/PAM: impact on cross border trading (SO)

• Price difference and market splitting (MS) hours between Portugal and Spain



- vRES bids in the PAM contribute to minimize market distortions observed in the DAM by reducing the hours with MS events and (strongly) improving price convergence between Portugal and Spain.
 - Distortions often lead to frequent market-splitting events due to "virtual" cross-border congestion.



Passive vs Active participation: Impact in the remuneration of vRES technologies in different markets (SO)

Levelised remuneration



Market-based recovery ٠

P

Α

Solar P

^P SP ^A

- vRES active participation led to an increased market remuneration through: i) higher DAM/PAM prices, ٠ and *ii*) (active) participation in IDM and balancing markets.
- Active participation enables vRES players to recover all investment costs for solar PV technology and **nearly 90%** of investment costs **for wind** in both countries.
 - However, these benefits are associated with slightly increasing in overall system costs.



S2 flexible ~85RES, highFlex	S4 radical ~100RES, highFlex
S1 conservative	S3 variable
~85RES, lowFlex	~100RES, lowFlex
vRES supp	ly capacity

Market performance under nearly 100% RES power systems (*S1-S4*) 2050

• Average market price:



• Cost for society:



Scenarios with high levels of sector coupling and demand-side flexibility (S2 and S4) result in the lowest
 i) average market prices and ii) cost for society (SP S4 is high due to investment).



Market performance under nearly 100% RES power systems (*S1-S4*) 2050

• Price difference and market splitting (MS) hours between Portugal and Spain



 Scenarios with aligned demand-flexibility and investments in vRES (S1 and S4) tend to be more stable, leading to practically no MS events.



Impact in the short-term markets: PAM, vRES active strategy and future scenarios

- Demand served by vRES: Portugal: 82% (S0) & 100% (S1-S4), and Spain: >60% (S0) 93% to 97% (S1-S4);
- A new market *design* as PAM reduces balancing needs, penalties and curtailments, contributing to price harmonization of PT and SP zones.
- Active participation of vRES, even with simple, non-optimal strategies, reduces imbalances and boost remuneration and market value.
- vRES struggle to cover investment costs without support, especially with high demand flexibility.
 o Efficiency vs Profit: Lower energy costs benefit society but challenge vRES in investment recovery.
- Costs for society and dispatch decrease significantly from 2030 (S0) to 2050 (S1-S4).
 - **Complementarity** between demand flexibility and vRES investments **is key for cost reduction** and stability.



Final notes on short-term markets

- **Market Splitting:** vRES power forecast errors contribute to "virtual" market-splitting in DAM; a 6h PAM helps reduce this.
- Intraday Market (IDM): Improving IDM with clearing at gate closure and vRES priority would support vRES participation. Current "first in, first out" penalizes vRES.
- **Power Reserves:** Separate procurement boosts competition and lowers prices. Including vRES helps offset deviation pressures and diversifies revenue.
- **Dynamic Procurement**: In ~100% RES systems, reserve needs should adjust based on forecasts and market conditions.

While further work is necessary, (e.g., developing strategic bidding or cost-benefit analyses), this research shows that a flexible market design, like PAM with shorter gate closures and improved intraday and balancing mechanisms, enhance market efficiency and unlock full value of vRES in ~100% renewable systems.



TradeRES

New Markets Design & Models for 100% Renewable Power Systems

Join at slido.com #2539 471

Questions or Comments? Ask & vote on Slido!



More information at: https://traderes.eu/



The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme under the agreement Nr. 864276





New Markets Design & Models for 100% Renewable Power Systems

Thank you for your attention! More information at: <u>https://traderes.eu</u>



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 864276