

#### **TradeRES** New Markets Design & Models for 100% Renewable Power Systems

# Flexibility Market to Support a Cost-Effective Electricity System Decarbonisation

### **Goran Strbac**

Dawei Qiu, Nikolaos Chrysanthopoulos, Yi Wang

Imperial College London

– – – – June <u>28,</u> 2023



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 864276



# **Background and Motivation**

Key objective: achieving cost effective transition to secure, low/zero carbon energy future

- Low-carbon transition and environmental protection have promoted the increasing penetration of renewable energies, e.g., PV and Wind >> high variability, no inertia.
- Increased electrification of transportation and heating sectors, e.g., EV, HVAC systems, present crucial techno-economic challenges for power systems >> high demand peaks.

### Integrating and coordinating demand-side flexibility

- Distributed generators (DG), energy storage systems (ES) and flexible demand technologies (FD /EV, Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning, Smart appliances).
- Balancing demand-supply locally >> reduce energy costs and demand peaks.
- Multi-energy microgrids >> provide effective energy management solutions for decarbonisation from the perspective of customer side.

Solution: transition to digitalised energy paradigm - appropriate market design and control scheme are required for efficiently coordinating this large number of small-scale demand-side flexibilities at the distribution level for cost-effective energy trading and low-carbon transition.



### **Energy: From the System to Consumers**

### Flexibility: DSR, DG, Storage, smart network technologies



# Peer-to-Peer Energy Trading in Local Energy Market

Peer-to-Peer (P2P) energy trading has emerged as a new market paradigm that <u>enables direct and autonomous</u> <u>energy trading among prosumers</u> within a local energy market (LEM):

- Enhance coordination and exploitation of prosumers' PV production and storage flexibility.
- Demand and generation are balanced at the local level.
- Reduce energy dependency on upstream electricity suppliers.
- Reduce national demand peaks, towards a cost-effective and low-carbon transition.
- Maximise economic benefits through local trading at more attractive local prices in comparison to the high retail price and low feed-in-tariff (FiT).
- Price is between FiT and retail price
- Deferral or even avoidance of energy infrastructure reinforcement.

![](_page_3_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **P2P Community Market for Households**

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig 1: P2P energy trading platform.

![](_page_4_Figure_4.jpeg)

Table1: Peak demand and daily energy cost of local community without (w/o) and with P2P market – 300 households.

Market	Peak demand (kW)	Cost (€)
w/o P2P	910	1,882
with P2P	600	1,478

#### Aggregated demand/generation profiles in the community

- Energy is shifted from peak demand of high-price periods to off-peak demand of low-price periods.
- PV generation is absorbed to supply peak demand.

#### Impact of P2P energy trading

- <u>Peak demand reduction</u>: demand peaks are reduced given the local market mechanism restricting the households' contribution to peak concentrations.
- <u>Absorption of PV generation</u>: more PV generation is absorbed during mid-peak hours, since P2P energy trading allows households to fully exploit flexibility to absorb neighbours' PV generation.

# **P2P Energy Trading for Microgrids**

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

Table1: Daily internal and external trading quantities and daily energy costs of three MGs for P2G and P2P markets.

Markets	Internal trading (kWh)	External trading (kWh)	Cost (€)
P2G	-	7,382	1,151
P2P	7,263	1,933	703

![](_page_5_Figure_4.jpeg)

MG: micro-grid P2G: power-to-grid P2P: peer-to-peer ToU: Time-of-Use FiT: Feed-in-Tariff

Fig1: Local trading quantities (above) and prices (below) among three MGs.

- Three MGs trade frequently (24 hours) and exchange significant amount of energy among themselves during the day.
- Three MGs economically benefit from the attractive local prices within the range of low FiT and high ToU.
- Compared to the conventional P2G market, three MGs in P2P market can reduce energy costs for 40% (save 448€) by conducting 7,263 kWh of internal trading.

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Bilevel Model between Retailer and LEM**

#### Peer to Grid (P2G)

Upper-levelStrategic retailerMaxProfit of strategic retailersubject to:> Regulatory constraints imposed on retail prices> Balance between energy traded with three MGs and wholesale market					
Buy / sell prices	MG1 response	Buy / sell prices	MG2 response	Buy / sell prices	MG3 response
Lower-leve Micro-grid Min Energ subject to: > Demand, storage c	level 1Lower-level 2grid 1Micro-grid 2inergy costMin Energy costt to:subject to:and, generation,> Demand, generation,storage constraintsstorage constraints		Lower-level 3 Micro-grid 3 Min Energy cost subject to: ≻Demand, generation, storage constraints		

### Local Energy Market (LEM)

![](_page_6_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Added value of LEM with respect to P2G:

- Investigate the strategic retail pricing for LEM net response.
- Evaluation of economic benefits of flexible demand, local generation, and energy storage participating into LEM rather than independently trading with the retailer.

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Impact of LEM on Customers and Retailer

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig1: Demand response for P2G and LEM.

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

Table1: Daily customer utility and retail profit for P2G and LEM.

Markets	Customer Utility (thous.€)	Retail Profit (thous.€)
P2G (peer-to-grid)	105.77	220.85
LEM (local energy market)	280.61	94.64

Customer utility = demand benefit – generation cost

Retail profit = retail revenue – wholesale cost

#### The dependency of local customers on retailer is

*limited*; both the demand and generation served by the retailer are significantly reduced (Red lines in Fig 1. and Fig. 2).

#### Key insights:

- 1. Customers benefits from LEM in achieving higher utility.
- 2. Retailer losses business cases in LEM by serving less customers.

# Decarbonised Multi-Energy Microgrids (MEMGs)

MEMGs: electricity, heat, cooling, fuels, transport, and so on optimally interact with each other at MG levels

#### Local resources

- Local micro-generators
- CHP, EHP, gas boiler
- Energy storage (electric, heat, building)
- Electric vehicles / V2G
- Demand side response
- Smart appliance
- Mobile power sources

#### Different types of demand

- Continuously essential
- Decreasingly essential
- Non-essential
- Flexible

![](_page_8_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Joint P2P Energy and Carbon Trading**

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Photovoltaics (PV)
- Electric heat pump (EHP)
- Combined heat and power unit (CHP)
- Gas boiler (GB)
- Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC)
- Electric energy storage (EES)
- Thermal energy storage (TES)
- Electric load (EL)
- Heat load (HL)

Figure: Paradigm of joint P2P energy and carbon allowance trading framework for a MEMG community

The joint energy and carbon trading mechanism can be designed as two stages:

• <u>P2P Energy Trading</u>: Smart MEMGs can trade electricity on the main grid and purchase natural gas as fuel from the gas grid, but they can also exchange electricity locally through P2P trading platform.

 Emission Trading Scheme (ETS): Smart MEMGs are allowed to trade their carbon allowances locally but also purchase/sell carbon deficit/surplus in the carbon market.

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

Within emission trading scheme (ETS), a *free carbon allowance allocation* method and a carbon trading mechanism are designed in the multi-energy microgrid (MEMG) community:

#### Allocation of free carbon allowance:

- 1. <u>Heat energy components:</u> MEMGs with heat energy components can receive a certain level of carbon allowances for free based on heat benchmark.
- 2. <u>PV power generation</u>: MEMGs with PV sources can also receive a certain level of free carbon allowance based on grid carbon intensity.
- <u>Carbon trading</u>: After deducting the free carbon allowance allocation, the remaining carbon allowance with a surplus (deficit) can be sold (bought) in the carbon market.

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Energy Flows**

### Heat electrification via

### electric heat pump - EHP (6,119 kWh)

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

Fig1: Energy flow in community under P2P.

- When **P2P** energy trading is allowed while carbon trading is not allowed (P2P):
- 1. More P2P energy trading quantity (2,297 kWh)
- 2. More natural gas import (29,650 kWh) and less electricity grid import (6,870 kWh).

![](_page_11_Figure_9.jpeg)

Fig2: Energy flow in community under JPC.

When Joint P2P energy trading and Carbon trading are allowed (JPC):

- 1. Less P2P energy trading quantity (1,546 kWh)
- 2. Less natural gas import (23,630 kWh) and more electricity grid import (9,082 kWh).

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Linking local, national and international markets

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

Flexibility resources at the local level should support operation of the national/international markets – EU Wide approach is critical

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Provision of both local and national level flexibility services by DER**

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Multi-service provision by Distributed Energy Resources

Arbitrage

 $\checkmark$  Participate in day-ahead energy market

## Balancing services

 $\checkmark$  Participate in real-time balancing market

## • Frequency regulation services

✓ Providing primary/secondary / tertiary frequency regulation services

# Contribution to meeting peak demand

 $\checkmark$  Reducing need for peaking plant

## Network Support

✓ Reducing need for network reinforcement

### Low carbon generation mix

 $\checkmark$  Flexibility supports meeting carbon targets while reducing LC generation

# Option value

 $\checkmark$  Providing flexibility to deal with uncertainty

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Co-optimization of energy and reserve cross-border will become critical for achieving efficient market operation**

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

	Energy+FR	Energy-only	
Operational cost (M£)	118.81	132.29	

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Conclusions and Key Findings**

# Flexibility is the key for provision of cost-effective transition to low/zero carbon future P2P energy trading in LEM

- P2P energy trading is allowed to trade/balance demand and generation locally >> reduce energy cost, reduce energy dependence on upstream main grid, avoid network reinforcement, etc.
- The impact of local energy market on national level should be considered.

### Key findings towards cost-effective P2P energy trading

- More PV absorptions and higher demand peak reductions in P2P energy trading.
- Local trading pricing scheme guarantees the economic benefits of market participants in P2P energy trading.
- Social welfare is increased and shifted from strategic retailer to local customers in P2P energy trading.

### Key findings towards future low-carbon transition

- Multi-energy microgrids (MEMGs): localized small energy systems with high flexibility.
- New local market mechanism design: joint energy and carbon trading.
- Decarbonization can be effectively enhanced through smart, multi-energy microgrid operation.
- Energy and carbon are coupled in local market towards the future cost-effective and low-carbon transition
- Carbon emissions will be reduced by electrifying heat sector using electric heat pumps.

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

**TradeRES** New Markets Design & Models for 100% Renewable Power Systems

# Flexibility Market to Support a Cost-Effective Electricity System Decarbonisation

### **Goran Strbac**

Dawei Qiu, Nikolaos Chrysanthopoulos, Yi Wang

Imperial College London

– – June 28, 2023

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme under the agreement Nr. 864276